

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

INMATE #: _____  ROBERT GEORGE HOGARTH  <div style="text-align: center;">v.                      PETITIONER</div> THOMAS P. GILES, et al.  <div style="text-align: center;">RESPONDENT(S)</div>	CASE NUMBER  EDCV 22-1809 DSF (MAR)  <div style="text-align: center;"><b>ORDER ON REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (HABEAS)</b></div>
--	---

The Court has reviewed the Request to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (the "Request") and the documents submitted with it. On the question of indigency, the Court finds that Petitioner:

- ☐ is not able to pay the filing fee.                      ☒ is able to pay the filing fee.  
☐ has not submitted enough information for the Court to tell if Petitioner is able to pay the filing fee. This is what is missing:

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:**

- ☐ The Request is GRANTED.  
☐ Ruling on the Request is POSTPONED for 30 days so that Petitioner may provide additional information.  
☒ The Request is DENIED because Petitioner has the ability to pay.  
☐ As explained in the attached statement, the Request is DENIED because in screening the Petition under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, the Court finds that it plainly appears that Petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:**

- ☒ Within 30 days of the date of this Order, Petitioner must do the following:  
     Pay the filing fee

If Petitioner does not comply with these instructions within 30 days, this case will be DISMISSED without prejudice.

- ☐ As explained in the attached statement, because it plainly appears that Petitioner is not entitled to relief in the district court, this case is hereby DISMISSED: ☐ WITHOUT PREJUDICE    ☐ WITH PREJUDICE.

11/28/2022

Date



United States District Judge